Integrated Planning Tool

This tool is designed to help align strategies and desired outcomes to concrete activities and their associated expenditures.

It includes outcomes and sections for the essential information, and at their discretion, districts can add sections, columns, or layer-in information relevant to their district and community.

Outcomes

Outcomes can be described as the changes you are trying to cause. They might be changes in student achievement and growth; changes in policy and practice; changes in student participation and access to programs and courses; changes in adult behavior, practices or beliefs; etc.

The ARP Act identifies overarching outcomes for ESSER III, which are prepopulated for you. Your district may also choose to add outcomes to reflect more focused changes you hope will happen over the next three years by executing your ESSER III District Plan.

Are you having the impact you were hoping for on the people or groups you are engaged or partnering with? What are you seeing and learning? What would you like to see happening?

Strategies

Your ESSER III District Plan may focus on one or multiple (more than one) strategies over a 1-3 year period. Strategies are the plans designed to achieve and reach the intended outcome(s).

Activities

The activities are items that support the strategies, which may include providing/implementing an intervention. There are multiple lines to enter planned activities and a dropdown box to connect the activity to the most tightly linked strategy.

Year one activities, strategies, and budgeted or estimated costs are required in this form. If year two and three are known, districts may also complete those years.

The date ranges help track the anticipated number of years the activity will span and provides space to project both first year costs as well as consecutive year projected costs. These amounts are totaled and set in line with the strategies above.

The final table indicates the requirement of the 20%+ designation for activities around unfinished learning. As the activity table and budget summary table are completed, the 20%+ requirement and progress toward that requirement will be auto-filled.

Instructions for Using the ESSER III Integrated Planning Tool

- 1. Create a copy of the document or "Save As" and name the document (school district name) ESSER III Integrated Planning Tool before entering any information.
- 2. Review the sheet tabs from left to right starting with the instructions on the Start Here tab.
- 3. Review the SAMPLE Outcomes, Strategies and Activities tab
- 4. Review the *Unfinished Learning Strategies 20%+)* tab of the "5 power strategies" that address unfinished learning through evidence-based interventions. Your district may also have other strategies identified for ESSER III funding.
- 5. Use the District Allocations tab to find your districts final ESSER III allocation (current as of 7/21/21)
- 6. Enter your district allocation total in the box in cell G51 on the *Outcomes, Strategies and Activities* tab.
- 7. In the *Outcomes, Strategies and Activities tab*, list and describe the strategies (or strategy) your district is prioritizing for ESSER III grant investment. The strategies (or strategy) must support the ESSER III outcomes and align to those you identified in "Section 1: District Use of ESSER III Funds to Address Unfinished Learning."
- 8. In the *Outcomes, Strategies and Activities* tab, list the activities identified to achieve the strategies or strategies.
- 9. In the *Outcomes, Strategies and Activities tab,* provide the estimated cost of the activities. Year one (2021-22 school year) is required. You may populate years 2 & 3 if your district has made those decisions and it is helpful to you. ODE understands that districts may need to change their proposed expenditures later.
- 10. In the *Outcomes, Strategies and Activities tab,* using the the choices YES or NO in the *Identified for Instruction (20+)* column, indicate whether each activity is meant to count towards the required minimum 20% dedicated to addressing unfinished learning through evidence-based interventions.
- 11. Note that the final small chart on the page has built in formulas that will help you keep track of what the total estimated costs are compared to your district allocation and your progress towards meeting the 20%+ requirement. All of the numbers in that box pull from the Activities chart above. If you manually delete numbers in that chart, you will remove the automatic calculations.
- 12. Create a public link from the sheet and enter it in Section 3 of the Smartsheet. Or, create a PDF of the Outcomes, Strategies and Activities Tab and attachit to the Smartsheet in Section 5.
- 13. Post a copy of your plan on your district website where the public can find it and in a way that follows accessibility standards.

All strategies must be in support of this overarching

		OUTCOMES	Supi	orting Strate	gy		
		OUTCOMES	Si	S2	S3		
ESSER III Overarching Outcome	operation, and addressing students' academic, social, emotional, and mental health.				Х	Х	
Unfinished Learning Outcome (at least 20%)	Learning Outcome (at Description Descr				to the strate prioritization Funds to Ad "Unfinished	egies the district in in "Section 1: dress Unfinishe	ome (20%+) must align t identified for District Use of ESSER III d Learning." See egies" tab for quick
Please add more rows if needed				reference.			

Strategy #1

Example
Time & Attention: Provide opportunities for additional, targeted learning to close learning gaps and accelerate literacy growth for K-3 students not meeting proficiency in grade level reading.

Example
Strategy #2

Health and Safety: Implement measures that effectively ensure the health, safety, and well-being of students and staff while providing onsite education.

Strategy #3

Strategy #3

Please add more rows if needed

Note: The district plan must include all schools within the district boundaries including district-sponsored charter schools. If the district is providing funding to a public charter school to deploy separate strategies from that of the district, then they need to identify those strategies separately in the ESSER III IPT.

Information for years 2 and 3 can be added here if district has made those budget decisions.

			Requ	uired		Optional it	f available	
#	Activities (Planned items to support a strategy, which may include providing/implementing an intervention.)	Aligned Primary Strategy	Year 1 Estimated Cost	Identified for Instruction (20%+)	Year 2 Estimated Cost	Identified for Instruction (20%+)	Year 3 Estimated Cost	Identified for Instruction (20%+)
1	Example: FTE and extended hours to implement high dosage tutoring	S1	\$ 140,000.00	Yes	\$ 110,000.00	Yes	\$ 120,000.00	Yes
2	Example: Resources and materials to implement high dosage tutoring	S1	\$ 40,000.00	Yes	\$ 25,000.00	Yes	\$ 25,000.00	Yes
3	Example: Extended hours to provide extended day learning	S1	\$ 25,000.00	Yes				
4	Example: Transportation, students snacks, and facilities to provide extended day	S1	\$ 40,000.00	Yes				
5	Example: Upgrade air ventilation systems to provide efficient airflow throughout the school facility	S2	\$ 100,000.00	No				
	Example: Implement modifications or improvements to school building that enable more space for both social distancing and high quality learning.	S2	\$ 80,000.00	No				
	Example: Acquisition of PPE that improve over health and safety of students and staff.	S2	\$ 50,000.00	No				
8								
9								
10								
11								
12			1					
13	It is not required that all activities qualify for the 20%, this is only							
14	for example purposes. However, it would not be unusual for a							
15	district to greatly exceed the required 20% minimum for learning							
16	loss.		\$ 1.00					
17	IOSS.							
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26			1					
27	Please add more rows if needed							
28	Please add more rows it needed		<u> </u>					
29								
Total			\$ 475,001.00		\$ 135,000.00		\$ 145,000.00	

Note that all of the cells in this chart have formulas that are pulling from the numbers and check boxes in the Activities chart above. If you manually delete numbers in this chart, you will remove the automatic calculations.

Funding does not have to be equally distributed in each of the three years.

Note: If the total budget (cell D55 here) exceeds the Allocation amount (cell G49 here), cell D55 will update format to visually cue the discrepancy in totals. (hint, see an example \$1 mistake in cell E30 here).

Progress toward meeting min 20%+on learning loss (dollar amount)

Year 1 \$475,001.00 \$245,000.00

Progress toward meeting min learning loss (dollar amount)

Year 20%+ Requirement

Total District Allocation

\$755,000.00

Year 2 \$135,000.00 \$135,000.00
Year 3 \$145,000.00 \$145,000.00
Total \$755,001.00 \$525,000.00 \$47.68% \$151,000.00

The 20%+ requirement can be met over the life of the grant, it does not need to be met each year.

Find your district's final allocation amount on the

District Allocation tab in this workbook and enter it

here. Note: these amounts reflect the final updated

Total dollar amount that is 20%+ of the required total allocation based amount

entered into cell G49 here.

amounts as communicated 7/21/21.

Auto calculated to see if you are meeting the min 20% investment learning loss requirement. Note that while the cells calculate the percentage per year, only the overall percentage is critical. It will not be unusual for a district to greatly exceed the 20% requirement in year one and overall.

Strategy Choices for the required 20%+ to address the academic impact of lost instructional time:

- Empowering, Adaptable Instruction: For students to experience empowering curriculum that is motivating, appropriately-challenging, and that honors their identity and lived experience, districts and schools need a high-quality, culturally relevant curriculum, time and expertise for teachers to collaborate and check-in on student learning, and support that is differentiated and adjustable to meet students' strengths and needs. (Possible examples: Implementing or deepening authentic, culturally-responsive learning; assessing quality of current instructional materials and Investing in high-quality instructional materials from the adopted materials list or independent adoption that meets state criteria and providing high-quality professional learning for teachers on implementation, investing in formative assessment practices, providing sufficient time for both content-focused collaboration and planning as well as student-focused collaboration, providing instructional coaching and resources, providing career connect learning (CTE).)
- **Time & Attention:** For students to engage in learning that meets their strengths and distinct needs, districts and schools need to explore new ways to expand and vary the time and individualized attention they receive inside and outside of school hours. (Possible examples: Providing focused, high-dosage tutoring, peer tutoring, extended school day, enrichment programs, summer academic and enrichment, planning for post-secondary and career, developing work-based learning programs.
- Conditions for Teachers: For students to experience engaging, high-quality instruction in a supportive environment, districts and schools need to prioritize ways to make teaching jobs and roles more rewarding, collaborative, and sustainable while also tending to teacher mental health and well-being. (Possible examples: providing mentors and/or at least one team member that has an instructional content expert to help with planning, providing stipends and/or release time for selected teacher leadership roles, investing in wellness supports, providing highly effective teachers with opportunities to share their strengths and expand their impact).
- Relationships & Mental Health Support: For students to feel safe, welcome and supported in school, districts and schools need to develop structures and enact policies and practices that cultivate positive, supportive relationships, and provide for staff and student mental and emotional health needs. (Possible examples: create time and space in the school day for relationship building, provide opportunities for staff and students to make meaning of their experience through creative outlets [art, music, writing, movement etc.], regularly engage with staff, students and families to assess their relational and emotional support needs, and build robust relationships with linguistically and culturally responsive community health and mental health providers and local systems of care.
- Family & Community Partnerships: To increase academic, health, mental health and emotional support for students, districts and schools need to engage families as partners and leverage the local community and its system of care to provide integrated, wrap-around services and supports. (Possible examples: Implementing or deepening community- based organization's support to provide authentic, culturally-responsive academic enrichment and learning supports, strengthening connections with local systems of care, communicating in home languages, culturally-specific liaisons, business and college partnerships.)
- Other prioritized strategies, please describe.

	OUTCOMES	Supporting Strategy					
	OUTCOMES	S1	S4				
ESSER III Overarching Outcome	Address student needs arising from the coronavirus pandemic and/or to emerge stronger post-pandemic, which may include reopening schools safely, sustaining their safe operation, and addressing students' social, emotional, and mental health.	х		х	х		
Unfinished Learning Outcome (at least 20%)	Address unfinished learning through the implementation of evidence-based interventions and ensure that those interventions respond to students' social, emotional, and eademic needs and address the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on underrepresented student subgroups (each major racial and ethnic group, children from low-income families, children with disabilities, English learners, gender, migrant students, students experiencing homelessness, and children and youth in foster care).	X	x				

	STRATEGY						
Strategy #1	Time and Attention/Mental Health Support: Providing additional certified and classified staff to schools for academic interventions, mental/behavioral/emotional support, and/or class size reduction for more individualized attention.						
Strategy #2	Empowering, Adaptable Instruction: Increasing teachers' knowledge and skills in foundational literacy instruction.						
Strategy #3	Conditions for Teachers: Prioritizing ways to make educators' roles more rewarding and sustainable.						
Strategy #4	Other Strategy: Ensuring safety for students and staff.						
	e						

			Required				Optional if available				
#	Activities (Planned items to support a strategy, which may include providing/implementing an intervention.)	Aligned Primary Strategy		Estimated Cost		Identified for Instruction (20%+)	Year	r 2 Estimated Cost	Identified for Instruction (20%+)	Year 3 Estimated Cost	Identified for Instruction (20%+)
1	Create new staffing plans with line items for "HU staff": additional staff for	S1	\$	3,177,040.00		Yes	\$	5,527,040.00	Yes	\$ 5,527,040.00	Yes
2	Provide distinguished service stipends for all BLS staff.	S3	\$	2,350,000.00		No					
3	Provide mandatory professional learning and site-based coaching for all K-1	S2	\$	200,000.00		Yes	\$	-			
4	Upgrade ventilation systems in seven district schools.	S4	\$	1,603,000.00		No					
5	Provide communications support for family and staff communication.	S4	\$	100,000.00		No					
6	Purchase additional PPE and classroom/outdoor furniture to space students.	S4	\$	300,000.00		No					
7	·										
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											
26											
27											
28											
29											
30											
Total			\$	7,730,040.00			\$	5,527,040.00		\$ 5,527,040.00	

Total District Allocation	\$18.862.980.57

	Budgeted or Estimated	Progress toward meeting min 20%+on learning loss (dollar amount)	Progress toward meeting min 20%+ on learning loss (%)	Minimum 20%+ Requirement
Year 1	\$7,730,040.00	\$3,377,040.00		
Year 2	\$5,527,040.00	\$5,527,040.00		
Year 3	\$5,527,040.00	\$5,527,040.00		
	\$18,784,120.00	\$14,431,120.00	382.52%	\$3,772,596.11

ESSER III Grant Formula and Minimum Allocations for K-12 School Districts

		ESSER III Grant	Formula and Minimum Allocations for K-			
County	District ID	District Name	90% Share Title 1-A Formula ESSER III Grant	Title 1-A Minimum E	ponsored Charter Sc	Total ESSER III Grant
Lake	2063	Adel SD 21	\$ -	\$90,000.00	\$ -	\$90,000.00
Malheur	2113	Adrian SD 61	\$455,525.05	\$-	\$-	\$455,525.05
Benton	1899	Alsea SD 7J	\$205,784.81	\$-	\$-	\$205,784.81
Yamhill	2252	Amity SD 4J	\$901,761.03	\$-	\$-	\$901,761.03
Malheur	2111	-	\$276,597.56	\$-	\$-	\$276,597.56
Gilliam	2005		\$317,157.67	\$-	\$ -	\$317,157.67
Malheur	2115	_	\$188,830.78	\$-	\$-	\$188,830.78
Jackson	2041		\$6,460,019.15	\$-	\$-	\$6,460,019.15
				\$90,000.00	\$-	
Jefferson	2051		\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$90,000.00
Clatsop	1933		\$2,671,388.27	1.		\$2,671,388.27
Umatilla	2208	Athena-Weston SD 2		\$ -	\$ -	\$802,353.67
Baker	1894		\$4,810,773.83	\$-	\$-	\$4,810,773.83
Coos	1969	Bandon SD 54	\$1,775,027.61	\$-	\$-	\$1,775,027.61
Washington	2240	Banks SD 13	\$598,441.41	\$-	\$-	\$598,441.41
Washington	2243	Beaverton SD 48J	\$46,425,044.01	\$-	\$ -	\$46,425,044.01
Deschutes	1976	Bend-LaPine Admin	\$18,862,980.57	\$-	\$-	\$18,862,980.57
Lane	2088	Bethel SD 52	\$13,451,695.61	\$-	\$-	\$13,451,695.61
Lane	2095	Blachly SD 90	\$345,278.31	\$-	\$-	\$345,278.31
Jefferson	2052	•	\$ -	\$90,000.00	\$-	\$90,000.00
Curry	1974	Brookings-Harbor SD	•	\$-	\$-	\$3,503,568.37
Baker	1896	Burnt River SD 30J		\$90,000.00	\$-	\$90,000.00
Jackson	2046		\$514,724.30	\$ -	\$-	\$514,724.30
Douglas	1995	Camas Valley SD 21J		\$-	\$-	\$413,840.46
Clackamas	1995	•		\$-	\$- \$-	
		,	\$5,120,440.74			\$5,120,440.74
Marion	2139		\$4,699,689.49	\$ -	\$-	\$4,699,689.49
Multnomah	2185	1	\$17,207,228.26	\$ -	\$-	\$17,207,228.26
Curry	1972	Central Curry SD 1	\$664,892.00	\$-	\$-	\$664,892.00
Linn	2105	Central Linn SD 552		\$-	\$-	\$1,688,283.29
Jackson	2042	Central Point SD 6	\$6,615,920.83	\$-	\$-	\$6,615,920.83
Polk	2191	Central SD 13J	\$5,979,899.26	\$-	\$-	\$5,979,899.26
Columbia	1945	Clatskanie SD 6J	\$1,144,957.03	\$-	\$-	\$1,144,957.03
Clackamas	1927	Colton SD 53	\$532,513.31	\$-	\$-	\$532,513.31
Gilliam	2006	Condon SD 25J	\$98,094.56	\$-	\$-	\$98,094.56
Coos	1965	Coos Bay SD 9	\$10,158,693.58	\$-	\$-	\$10,158,693.58
Coos	1964	-	\$2,000,217.31	\$-	\$-	\$2,000,217.31
Multnomah	2186	•	\$577,780.30	\$-	\$ -	\$577,780.30
Benton	1901		\$6,954,820.46	\$-	\$-	\$6,954,820.46
Union	2216			\$-	\$-	
			\$377,100.07	\$-	\$-	\$377,100.07
Lane	2086	+	\$2,639,936.98			\$2,639,936.98
Crook	1970		\$5,742,873.55	\$ -	\$ -	\$5,742,873.55
Lane	2089	Crow-Applegate-Loi		\$ -	\$-	\$593,489.63
Jefferson	2050		\$1,506,550.15	\$ -	\$-	\$1,506,550.15
Polk	2190		\$5,156,206.15	\$-	\$-	\$5,156,206.15
Multnomah	2187	David Douglas SD 40	\$29,058,151.45	\$-	\$-	\$29,058,151.45
Yamhill	2253	Dayton SD 8	\$1,008,783.78	\$-	\$-	\$1,008,783.78
Grant	2011	Dayville SD 16J	\$16,530.48	\$90,000.00	\$-	\$106,530.48
Harney	2017	Diamond SD 7	\$ -	\$90,000.00	\$-	\$90,000.00
Harney	2021		\$-	\$90,000.00	\$-	\$90,000.00
Douglas	1993	Douglas County SD 1		\$-	\$-	\$394,174.72
Douglas	1991	Douglas County SD 4		\$-	\$-	\$12,592,399.25
Harney	2019		\$ -	\$90,000.00	\$-	\$90,000.00
Wasco	2229	•	\$542,495.81	\$ -	\$-	\$542,495.81
Jackson	2043		\$9,160,310.14	\$-	\$-	\$9,160,310.14
				\$-	\$ -	
Umatilla	2203		\$526,228.39	\$ - \$ -		\$526,228.39
Union	2217		\$546,173.76		\$-	\$546,173.76
Douglas	1998		\$311,570.77	\$ -	\$-	\$311,570.77
Wallowa	2221	-	\$676,041.57	\$ -	\$ -	\$676,041.57
Clackamas	1930		\$2,024,079.24	\$ -	\$-	\$2,024,079.24
Lane	2082	Eugene SD 4J	\$36,891,859.16	\$-	\$-	\$36,891,859.16
Polk	2193	Falls City SD 57	\$924,332.52	\$-	\$-	\$924,332.52
Lane	2084	Fern Ridge SD 28J	\$3,742,811.33	\$-	\$-	\$3,742,811.33
Washington	2241	Forest Grove SD 15	\$8,641,221.14	\$-	\$-	\$8,641,221.14
Wheeler	2248	Fossil SD 21J	\$375,526.49	\$-	\$-	\$375,526.49
Harney	2020		\$ -	\$90,000.00	\$-	\$90,000.00
Washington	2245	-	\$531,312.99	\$-	\$-	\$531,312.99
Marion	2137		\$1,988,145.20	\$-	\$-	\$1,988,145.20
	213,	-C1 1413 3D 1	7-,000,170,120	Į T	Ŧ	7 -13001173.20

Clackamas	1931	Gladstone SD 115	\$2,807,354.66	\$-	 \$ -	\$2,807,354.66
Douglas	2000	Gladstone SD 115	\$876,628.18	\$-	\$-	\$876,628.18
Douglas	1992	Glide SD 12	\$1,797,566.56	\$-	\$-	\$1,797,566.56
Josephine	2054	Grants Pass SD 7	\$14,617,803.50	\$-	\$-	\$14,617,803.50
Linn	2100	Greater Albany Publ		\$-	\$-	\$15,734,628.01
Multnomah	2183	Gresham-Barlow SD		\$-	\$-	\$19,662,616.74
Harney	2014	Harney County SD 3		\$-	\$-	\$1,991,027.90
Harney	2015	Harney County SD 4		\$-	\$ -	\$214,647.38
Harney	2023	Harney County Unio		\$-	\$ -	\$159,350.85
Malheur	2114	Harper SD 66	\$252,011.40	\$-	\$-	\$252,011.40
Linn	2099	Harrisburg SD 7J	\$1,659,441.63	\$-	\$-	\$1,659,441.63
Umatilla	2201	Helix SD 1	\$119,133.07	\$-	\$-	\$119,133.07
Umatilla	2206	Hermiston SD 8	\$10,847,808.07	\$-	\$-	\$10,847,808.07
Washington	2239	Hillsboro SD 1J	\$24,917,555.36	\$-	\$-	\$24,917,555.36
Hood River	2024	Hood River County 9	\$4,979,092.67	\$-	\$ -	\$4,979,092.67
Baker	1895	Huntington SD 16J	\$188,193.08	\$-	\$-	\$188,193.08
Union	2215	Imbler SD 11	\$204,945.69	\$-	\$-	\$204,945.69
Morrow	3997	Ione SD R2	\$90,176.10	\$-	\$-	\$90,176.10
Jefferson	2053	Jefferson County SD	\$8,359,079.63	\$-	\$-	\$8,359,079.63
Marion	2140		\$1,436,433.46	\$-	\$-	\$1,436,433.46
Clatsop	1934	Jewell SD 8	\$709,359.18	\$-	\$-	\$709,359.18
Grant	2008	-	\$1,194,312.52	\$ -	\$ -	\$1,194,312.52
Malheur	2107		\$158,992.45	\$ -	\$ -	\$158,992.45
Wallowa	2219	<u> </u>	\$475,354.37	\$-	\$ -	\$475,354.37
Lane	2091	Junction City SD 69		\$-	\$ -	\$2,962,046.26
Malheur	2109	Juntura SD 12	\$ -	\$90,000.00	\$ -	\$90,000.00
Klamath	2057	Klamath County SD		\$-	\$ -	\$16,156,162.50
Klamath	2056	Klamath Falls City Sc		\$ -	\$ -	\$12,813,687.97
Clatsop	2262	Knappa SD 4	\$647,527.14	\$-	\$ -	\$647,527.14
Union	2212		\$4,858,090.84	\$ -	\$ -	\$4,858,090.84
Lake	2059	•	\$1,656,548.58	\$-	\$-	\$1,656,548.58
Clackamas	1923	_	\$1,509,432.69	\$ -	\$-	\$1,509,432.69
Linn	2101	Lebanon Communit		\$ -	\$ -	\$8,364,104.20
Lincoln	2097	•	\$12,990,415.32	\$ -	\$ -	\$12,990,415.32
Grant	2012	Long Creek SD 17	\$361,564.97	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$361,564.97
Lane Malheur	2092	Lowell SD 71	\$490,781.26	\$90,000.00	\$ - \$ -	\$490,781.26
Lane	2085	Malheur County SD Mapleton SD 32	\$506,068.47	\$ -	\$-	\$90,000.00 \$506,068.47
Lane	2094	Marcola SD 79J	\$309,515.19	\$-	\$-	\$309,515.19
Lane	2094	McKenzie SD 68	\$715,398.52	\$-	\$-	\$715,398.52
Yamhill	2256	McMinnville SD 40		\$-	\$-	\$9,424,318.70
Jackson	2048		\$33,008,496.86	\$-	\$-	\$33,008,496.86
Umatilla	2205	Milton-Freewater U		\$-	\$-	\$6,395,373.18
Wheeler	2249	Mitchell SD 55	\$129,982.32	\$-	\$-	\$129,982.32
Clackamas	1925	Molalla River SD 35		\$-	\$-	\$3,147,965.79
Benton	1898		\$1,021,120.88	\$-	\$-	\$1,021,120.88
Grant	2010	Monument SD 8	\$107,851.07	\$-	\$-	\$107,851.07
Morrow	2147		\$4,367,777.58	\$-	\$-	\$4,367,777.58
Marion	2145	Mt Angel SD 91	\$729,563.92	\$-	\$-	\$729,563.92
Coos	1968	Myrtle Point SD 41		\$-	\$-	\$2,188,072.59
Tillamook	2198	Neah-Kah-Nie SD 56		\$-	\$-	\$1,558,764.37
Tillamook	2199	Nestucca Valley SD 1		\$-	\$-	\$981,840.73
Yamhill	2254	-	\$5,319,022.02	\$-	\$-	\$5,319,022.02
Coos	1966	North Bend SD 13	\$4,321,040.59	\$-	\$-	\$4,321,040.59
Clackamas	1924	North Clackamas SD	\$17,097,617.76	\$ -	\$ -	\$17,097,617.76
Douglas	1996	North Douglas SD 22		\$-	\$-	\$680,028.87
Lake	2061	North Lake SD 14	\$629,876.93	\$-	\$ -	\$629,876.93
Marion	2141	North Marion SD 15	\$2,399,430.64	\$ -	\$ -	\$2,399,430.64
Union	2214	North Powder SD 8J	\$603,497.13	\$-	\$-	\$603,497.13
Marion	2143	North Santiam SD 29	1 1 1 1	\$-	\$-	\$3,112,599.75
Wasco	4131	North Wasco County	\$6,715,472.34	\$-	\$-	\$6,715,472.34
Malheur	2110	Nyssa SD 26	\$3,630,707.70	\$-	\$-	\$3,630,707.70
Douglas	1990	Oakland SD 1	\$1,401,248.54	\$-	\$-	\$1,401,248.54
Lane	2093	Oakridge SD 76	\$6,161,472.37	\$-	\$-	\$6,161,472.37
Malheur	2108	Ontario SD 8C	\$11,677,903.29	\$-	\$1,461,253.76	\$13,139,157.05
Clackamas	1928	Oregon City SD 62	\$6,713,824.54	\$-	\$-	\$6,713,824.54
	1026	Oregon Trail SD 46	\$3,524,397.30	\$-	\$-	\$3,524,397.30
Clackamas	1926		ψ3,3E4,337.30			
Clackamas Lake	2060	Paisley SD 11	\$163,646.13	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$163,646.13 \$6,926,434.65

Umatilla	2207	Pendleton SD 16	\$6,976,728.61	\$-	\$-	\$6,976,728.61
Polk	2192	Perrydale SD 21	\$169,108.22	\$-	\$-	\$169,108.22
Benton	1900	•	\$1,212,694.61	\$-	\$-	\$1,212,694.61
Jackson	2039	Phoenix-Talent SD 4		\$-	\$-	\$8,937,705.00
Umatilla	2202		\$455,294.49	\$-	\$-	\$455,294.49
	2016	Pine Creek SD 5	\$ -	\$90,000.00	\$-	\$90,000.00
Harney					\$- \$-	· · ·
Baker	1897	Pine Eagle SD 61	\$390,664.61	\$-		\$390,664.61
Jackson	2047	Pinehurst SD 94	\$ -	\$90,000.00	\$ -	\$90,000.00
Lane	2081		\$1,724,205.81	\$-	\$ -	\$1,724,205.81
Lake	2062	Plush SD 18	\$-	\$90,000.00	\$-	\$90,000.00
Curry	1973	Port Orford-Langlois	\$2,749,651.05	\$-	\$ -	\$2,749,651.05
Multnomah	2180	Portland SD 1J	\$73,150,124.46	\$-	\$719,592.34	\$73,869,716.80
Coos	1967	Powers SD 31	\$540,295.02	\$-	\$-	\$540,295.02
Grant	2009	Prairie City SD 4	\$538,231.74	\$ -	\$-	\$538,231.74
Jackson	2045	Prospect SD 59	\$308,706.78	\$-	\$ -	\$308,706.78
Columbia	1946	Rainier SD 13	\$1,803,617.06	\$-	\$-	\$1,803,617.06
Deschutes	1977	Redmond SD 2J	\$11,362,931.73	\$-	\$-	\$11,362,931.73
Douglas	2001		\$1,729,422.52	\$-	\$-	\$1,729,422.52
Multnomah	2182	Reynolds SD 7	\$31,042,759.72	\$-	\$-	\$31,042,759.72
Douglas	1999	Riddle SD 70	\$1,198,555.00	\$-	\$-	\$1,198,555.00
Multnomah	2188	Riverdale SD 51J	\$108,176.08	\$-	\$-	\$108,176.08
				\$-	\$ -	
Jackson	2044		\$2,946,233.16			\$2,946,233.16
Marion	2142	Salem-Keizer SD 24J		\$ -	\$280,027.01	\$97,825,660.27
Linn	2104	Santiam Canyon SD 1		\$-	\$ -	\$1,056,086.61
Columbia	1944	Scappoose SD 1J	\$1,766,725.59	\$-	\$-	\$1,766,725.59
Linn	2103	Scio SD 95	\$1,059,542.86	\$-	\$-	\$1,059,542.86
Clatsop	1935	Seaside SD 10	\$2,796,684.06	\$-	\$-	\$2,796,684.06
Yamhill	2257	Sheridan SD 48J	\$1,586,880.80	\$-	\$-	\$1,586,880.80
Sherman	2195	Sherman County SD	\$363,181.30	\$-	\$-	\$363,181.30
Washington	2244	Sherwood SD 88J	\$1,125,319.55	\$-	\$-	\$1,125,319.55
Marion	2138	Silver Falls SD 4J	\$3,204,979.65	\$ -	\$-	\$3,204,979.65
Deschutes	1978	Sisters SD 6	\$810,005.97	\$-	\$-	\$810,005.97
Lane	2096	Siuslaw SD 97J	\$7,890,204.25	\$ -	\$-	\$7,890,204.25
Harney	2022	South Harney SD 33		\$90,000.00	\$ -	\$90,000.00
Lane	2087	South Lane SD 45J3		\$-	\$-	\$6,531,001.91
Douglas	1994	South Umpqua SD 1		\$-	\$-	\$5,113,563.94
Wasco	2225	South Wasco County		\$-	\$-	\$464,751.15
Wheeler	2247		\$245,777.38	\$-	\$-	\$245,777.38
	2083	+ ' - '		\$-	\$-	
Lane		<u> </u>	\$29,315,229.22			\$29,315,229.22
Columbia	1948		\$4,487,398.03	\$-	\$ - \$ -	\$4,487,398.03
Marion	2144		\$294,283.67	\$ -	T	\$294,283.67
Umatilla	2209	Stanfield SD 61	\$565,650.10	\$-	\$ -	\$565,650.10
Harney	2018	Suntex SD 10	\$ -	\$90,000.00	\$-	\$90,000.00
Douglas	2003		\$2,731,195.33	\$-	\$ -	\$2,731,195.33
Linn	2102	Sweet Home SD 55		\$-	\$-	\$4,169,565.43
Josephine	2055	Three Rivers/Joseph	\$17,616,519.64	\$-	\$-	\$17,616,519.64
Washington	2242	Tigard-Tualatin SD 2	\$12,901,333.98	\$-	\$-	\$12,901,333.98
Tillamook	2197	Tillamook SD 9	\$3,854,997.30	\$-	\$-	\$3,854,997.30
Wallowa	2222	Troy SD 54	\$-	\$90,000.00	\$-	\$90,000.00
Umatilla	2210	Ukiah SD 80R	\$8,700.25	\$90,000.00	\$-	\$98,700.25
Umatilla	2204	Umatilla SD 6R	\$3,361,167.21	\$-	\$-	\$3,361,167.21
Union	2213	Union SD 5	\$504,614.36	\$-	\$-	\$504,614.36
Malheur	2116	Vale SD 84	\$2,829,273.41	\$-	\$-	\$2,829,273.41
				\$-	\$-	\$1,037,751.36
Columbia	1947	Vernonia SD 471		~	۱۳	Y-,007,731.30
Columbia	1947	Vernonia SD 47J	\$1,037,751.36		¢_	\$709 323 25
Wallowa	2220	Wallowa SD 12	\$709,323.35	\$-	\$ -	\$709,323.35
Wallowa Clatsop	2220 1936	Wallowa SD 12 Warrenton-Hammo	\$709,323.35 \$1,454,984.73	\$ - \$ -	\$-	\$1,454,984.73
Wallowa Clatsop Clackamas	2220 1936 1922	Wallowa SD 12 Warrenton-Hammo West Linn-Wilsonvil	\$709,323.35 \$1,454,984.73 \$3,984,175.96	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$1,454,984.73 \$3,984,175.96
Wallowa Clatsop Clackamas Yamhill	2220 1936 1922 2255	Wallowa SD 12 Warrenton-Hammo West Linn-Wilsonvil Willamina SD 30J	\$709,323.35 \$1,454,984.73 \$3,984,175.96 \$1,501,582.27	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$1,454,984.73 \$3,984,175.96 \$1,501,582.27
Wallowa Clatsop Clackamas Yamhill Douglas	2220 1936 1922 2255 2002	Wallowa SD 12 Warrenton-Hammo West Linn-Wilsonvil Willamina SD 30J Winston-Dillard SD 3	\$709,323.35 \$1,454,984.73 \$3,984,175.96 \$1,501,582.27 \$3,809,187.78	\$- \$- \$- \$- \$-	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$1,454,984.73 \$3,984,175.96 \$1,501,582.27 \$3,809,187.78
Wallowa Clatsop Clackamas Yamhill	2220 1936 1922 2255	Wallowa SD 12 Warrenton-Hammo West Linn-Wilsonvil Willamina SD 30J Winston-Dillard SD 3 Woodburn SD 103	\$709,323.35 \$1,454,984.73 \$3,984,175.96 \$1,501,582.27 \$3,809,187.78 \$19,465,852.17	\$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$-	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$1,454,984.73 \$3,984,175.96 \$1,501,582.27
Wallowa Clatsop Clackamas Yamhill Douglas	2220 1936 1922 2255 2002	Wallowa SD 12 Warrenton-Hammo West Linn-Wilsonvil Willamina SD 30J Winston-Dillard SD 3	\$709,323.35 \$1,454,984.73 \$3,984,175.96 \$1,501,582.27 \$3,809,187.78 \$19,465,852.17	\$- \$- \$- \$- \$-	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$1,454,984.73 \$3,984,175.96 \$1,501,582.27 \$3,809,187.78